

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/735,523	12/11/2003	Keith P. Bargroff	RFMAGIC.004A	3774	
20995	7590 02/23/2005	EXAMINER		INER	
KNOBBE M 2040 MAIN S	IARTENS OLSON & I	WELLS, KENNETH B			
FOURTEENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA	IRVINE, CA 92614			2816	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/735,523	BARGROFF, KEITH P.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kenneth B. Wells	2816			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 December 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
٥,۵	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 December 2003</u> is/are: a) $\square$ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
and the second of the control of the						
Attachment(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) X Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 7/26/04		atent Application (PTO-152)			

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 1, line 2 of paragraph [0007], "a" should be deleted. On page 2, line 8 of paragraph [0011], "that illustrated mixer circuit" is grammatically improper. On page 5, line 7 of paragraph [0029], "an" should be changed to --a--. On page 5, line 4 of paragraph [0031], "a" should be changed to --the--. On page 8, last line, "that" (second occurrence) should be deleted. On page 10, line 2 of paragraph [0043], "their" should be changed to --its--. On page 10, line 8 of paragraph [0043], "continues" should be changed to --continue--. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. The drawings are objected to because Figs. 1A, 1B and 2 need "prior art" labels. Also, the LO signal is not labelled with a reference numeral in Fig. 1A.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as

"amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. Claims 1-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 2, "further" should be deleted because nothing has yet been recited about the mixer core, i.e., the term "further" should only be used when one or more elements of the mixer core have been previously set

forth in the claim. Also in claim 1, as well as in the other independent claims, the recitation of the switches having inputs should be changed to recite that the switches having terminals (because the "input" of a switch is generally used in the art when referring to the control node that opens it or closes it). Similarly, the recitation of the switches being switchable between first and second outputs should be changed to recite that they are switchable between first and second positions.

In claim 18, "common node" and "opposite polarity nodes" are vague and indefinite and should be reworded because it cannot be determined what is meant by this terminology. What is being referred to here? Where is this shown in the instant drawing figures?

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forgues.

This reference discloses a mixer circuit 40 with bypass in Fig. 2 where the input signal FIN is mixed with the local oscillator signal, or bypassed via the action of switch 52 so that it is not mixed and insteas is sent directly to the output 58. The only difference between the claims and this mixer circuit 40 is that the claimed invention has differential inputs that are mixed with a local oscillator. Such a modification to Forgues Fig. 2 would have been obvious to those having ordinary skill in the art, the motivation being to provide more accurate differential signals at the output, as opposed to simply single-ended (as well-known in the art, it is easier to detect a difference between differential signals than to detect a high or low logic state of a single-ended signal).

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth B. Wells whose telephone number is (571)272-1757. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P.

Callahan, can be reached at (571)272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information

Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kenneth B. Wells Primary Examiner Art Unit 2816